UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,089	09/10/2007	Kristina Allen	47038-0247-00 (227744)	4255
55694 7590 09/08/2009 DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC) 1500 K STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			MONSHIPOURI, MARYAM	
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/593,089	ALLEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Maryam Monshipouri	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	May 2009.				
	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	,—				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/06. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/593,089 Page 2

Art Unit: 1656

Applicant's response to species election of 5/5/2009 is acknowledged. Applicant elected Tween, superdex 200, Nacl, HEK293T and his₆Tag tag for examination purposes with traverse. In view of applicant's traversal arguments all species are hereby rejoined.

Claims 1-32 are under examination on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCarthy (U.S. Patent No. 7,446,181, issued Nov. 4, 2008) in view of current large scale recombinant protein isolation and purification methods. McCarthy In columns 81-82 teaches a large scale method of hDkk3 (a mammalian Dkk protein) production involving harvesting culture media from 293Tcells transfected with hDkk3-3flag DNA, wherein said Dkk protein is secreted into the media, loading the media onto an affinity column, eluting the Dkk protein fraction (which displayed a molecular weight of 40-65 kDa under SDS-PAGE gel stained by coomasie) and dialyzing (purifying said protein) in phosphate buffer saline, thereby obtaining a concentrated hDKK3 solution. In column 54 McCarthy teaches that detergent (such as triton X-100, Tween, etc.) addition to Dkk

Art Unit: 1656

protein solution may help keeping said proteins in solution. Mc Carthy also teaches about hDkk1-4, mDkk1, XDkk1 etc. See tables II-IV.

McCarthy does not teach utilizing specific EDTA or tween concentrations taught in claims 9-11, additional columns such as size exclusion chromatography, addition of protease inhibitors for mammalian Dkk protein purification, addition of His or c-myc tags to DNA encoding Dkk protein(s), or lyophilizing said protein after isolation.

Current large scale protein isolation and purification techniques teach the types and number of affinity columns, protease inhibitors (which protect proteins against protease attack), EDTA (to extract non desired metals and ions), the types of affinity tags (to aid purification) and detergent (to keep the protein soluble in solution) concentrations to be used and ways of storing said isolate proteins (in dry (lyophilized) form or in solution) for any desired protein.

At the time the invention was made, it would been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to start with the method of McCarthy and fine tune it in terms of salt, EDTA and detergent concentrations needed, the types of affinity columns and protease inhibitors and affinity tags to be used etc. and optionally lyophilizing said isolated proteins, according to current protein large scale isolation and purification techniques, depending on the type of Dkk protein to be purified, focusing on obtaining high yield and activity. Since all Dkk proteins specially hDkk1-4 are very similar in structure, it is reseanoably expected that the method of McCarthy in view of current large scale protein isolation and purification techniques may be easily applicable to each protein thereby resulting in purified proteins including hDkk1 of this invention, which would inherently

have a molecular weight of 40kD (see claim 28) or average molecular mass of 36-46 kD (see claim 29) with a His or c-myc tag, rendering the invention obvious.

One of ordinary skill in the art is motivated in purifying the Dkk proteins (including hDkk 1-4) of McCarthy because said proteins are known to inhibit Wnt signaling, which may lead to cancer.

Finally, one of ordinary skill in the art has a reasonable expectation of success in conducting the methods of McCarthy in view of current large scale protein purification and isolation techniques and isolating the Dkk protein(s) because methods of isolating and purifying recombinant proteins are well established in the prior art.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maryam Monshipouri whose telephone number is (571) 272-0932. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Fri., from 7:00 a.m to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/593,089 Page 5

Art Unit: 1656

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Maryam Monshipouri/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656
